

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/421,963	10/20/1999	KEVIN L. SCHULTZ	5150-36800	4855
75	05/06/2003			
JEFFREY C HOOD CONLEY ROSE & TAYON PC PO BOX 398			EXAMINER	
			VO, TUNG T	
AUSTIN, TX 787670398			ART UNIT	
			2613	_
		•	DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/421,963	SCHULTZ ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tung T. Vo	2613				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror , cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	March 2002					
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa		proposition as to the morite is				
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under a condition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	r election requirement.					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	nminer.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	·					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bursee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the control of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
) The translation of the foreign language protection of the foreign language protection.						
Attachment		-					
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Tr	ademark Office						

Art Unit: 2613

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 3/24/03 has been approved. A proper drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The correction to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2613

3. Claims 1-5, 13-20, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perry et al. (US 5,903,341) as set forth in previous Office Action, Paper 2, and the discussion follows.

Re claims 1 and 26, Perry discloses a system and its method for acquiring images of variable sized objects the system comprising:

an object detector (the detection software component 88 of fig. 88) for detecting presence of a first object and providing a detection signal to an image sensing device that is a scan-line camera (50 of fig. 5) (note the video cameras (50) are triggered by the object software detector(88) to detect the presence of the first object and to pass the scan lines that contain information to a video processing software component (90), because the object is on the conveyor that is moving so that the cameras and software detector (50 and 88) are detecting the absence of the first object, see also col. 5, lines 11-19);

the image sensing device is the camera (50) for generating image data corresponding to the first object;

a acquisition device (88 of fig. 5) for initiating storage of the image data corresponding to the first object in response to the image acquisition device detecting the presence of the first object (col. 6, lines 1-23) (note Direct Memory Access (DMA) contained buffers that are used to store the captured image based on the time is set in each buffer);

wherein the acquisition device further comprises an object detector (98 of fig. 5) for detecting the absence of the first object (col. 5, lines 30-62) (note the detector (98) detects the first object that has been passed by, and deactivate the camera (50), End Scanline);

Art Unit: 2613

wherein the acquisition device (88 of fig. 5) for discontinuing storage of the image data corresponding to the first object in response to the image acquisition device detecting the absence of the first object by the detection (98 of fig. 5) (note End Scanline).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 16, 18 have been considered but they are not persuasive.

In the remarks, pages 3 and 4, the applicant argued that Perry does not teach or suggest a device that detects a presence of a first object and/or an absence of the first object.

In response, the examiner respectfully disagrees with the applicant. It is submitted that Perry discloses the image acquisition device (cameras) comprises a counter (a 32 bit un-signed counter (89) is incremented (89 of fig. 5) for counting a number of scan lines corresponding to the first object, wherein the image acquisition device configured (a) start the counter in response to the presence of the object detected by the object detector (92) (note Start Scanline), (b) to terminate the counter in response to the object detector (98) detecting the absence of the object (note End Scanline), see (col. 5, lines 37-62); wherein the image acquisition device records a final value of the counter after counter terminates counting (col. 5, lines 30-36). Perry further teaches the device (cameras and software detection component 50 and 88 of fig. 5) detects a presence of the first object and/or an absence of the first object (col. 5, lines 11-14). In view of the discussion above, Perry anticipates the claimed features.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2613

5. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Perry et al. (US 5,903,341).

Re claim 26, see analysis in claim 1 as set forth in the previous Office Action, paper No. 2, and the discussion above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 6, 9, 11, 12, 21, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perry et al. ((US 5,903,341) in view of White et al. (US 4,972,494) as set forth in the previous Office Action, Paper No. 2.
- 8. Claims 7, 8, 10, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Perry et al. ((US 5,903,341) in view of White et al. (US 4,972,494) further in view of Miller (US 4,760,270) as set forth in the previous Office Action Paper No. 2.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2613

. 0610

Page 6

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Tung T. Vo whose telephone number is (703) 308-5874. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM - 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chris. Kelley can be reached on (703) 305-4856. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

T.Vo

April 23, 2003

HRIS KELLEY

12 Telles

SUPERVISO5

MINER

TECHNOLUG, January, 2600